PSI Working Group: Dave Hall Ted Tronson *Alan Berkema *Gail Songer *Jerry Thasher *Harry Lewis *Peter Zehler Paul Tykodi Peter Mierau Bob Taylor Don Levinstone Lee Farrell Don Wright Kirk Ocke *Ira Mcdonald *Amir Shahindoust * = attendance 07/30/02 Agenda 1) Review 07/23/02 minutes Minutes: 1) Items discussed copied from 07/23/02 minutes with change tracking turned on. Next we looked at GetServiceAttributes and exactly what this should return? This folded into GetSelfDescribingServiceAttributes which we decided was better named GetServiceAttributeMetaData. Discussion on whether the return should be an instance document or a schema and do we need both methods? Can we align the two so we do have two different methods with different levels of return values. Decided that if we return a Schema we can have only one method and for PSI 1.0 it would return a Schema with base level attributes. The Schema could be extended with Meta Data (self describing type of features) in the future (beyond PSI 1.0).And Constraints. Confirmed that with the above conclusions we really only need the one method GetServiceAttributes. Peter stated that he will send out the next revision of the Semantic model by the end of the week. From this we can choose the attributes that we need for the Service Attribute Object structure. Ira stated that it would be nice to have some minimal set of attributes that would be required for each Object Structure and perhaps some well known set of operations used by each implementation of the Semantic model. Peter said that this requires discussion and we may try to have a common set that is encouraged by a strong recommendation. Also if an Attribute from the model is used it must adhere to the Semantic rules. What is UPDFs role in this? How will UPDF harmonize with the semantic model? Talked about the PSI dependency on the semantic model vs. the PSI schedule. Decided that the amount of work involved with the semantic model and it's similar schedule will not add an unreasonable risk to PSI. Determined that formal notification/eventing methods will not be included in the PSI 1.0 spec. Could be considered in a following revision. When we reviewed the Target Interface we observed that PostStatus, PostJobStatus, PostDocumentStatus are really a lot like a Notifications. We could call these simple notifications and include a Boolean in CreateJob

that indicates whether or not the notifications were desired.

Formal Notifications, that we decided were post PSI 1.0, would include Notification subscriptions and Cancel subscription methods that add complexity.

However if we model our post status as simple notifications then they would naturally fit with the Formal notifications in the future. Simple notifications would only be associated with the life of a CreateJob .

Ira took an action to provide information about what IPP uses for notification payload, things like JobState, JobStateReasons and various counters. Simple notifications will limit the payload values. Status: Done.

Will use the base set of IPP notification attributes related to the Job and the Target Device. These are fixed, cannot subscribe to attributes not specified in the base set.

Notification shall persist for some period of time after LastDocument = True and the Job Completes. Job MIB uses 60 secs Ira will verify.

Ira will work to turn the Notification attributes he sent out into XML.

Alan

0) Job Control Interface starting to stabilize

Work Remaining Overview: 1) Finalize reference and Target Device Schemas 2) Attributes: PSI has Job, Document, and Processing (template) Need to help with and leverage the PWG semantic model attributes 3) Discovery, PS and the target Devices a PS knows about? 4) Revisit Target Device Interface 5) Status code, hoping that this will also be part of the PWG semantic model for leverage 6) Event Notification 7) Security Finish all that and PSI is done :) New 6/11/02 Actions: 1) Referenc schema work user name, passwd, certifiacte and e-mail elements. Owner: Kirk: 2) Investigate original thougts on time attribute with Bluetooth folks Owner: Alan 3) Examine Use Models for Job Control interface implemenation owner: Dave Thanks,

Alan